

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/973,561	10/08/2001	Jari Satomaa	BER-025	6046
26717	7590 04/15/2005	•	EXAM	INER
	RAIG FISH, A LAW CO	HENEGHAN, I	HENEGHAN, MATTHEW E	
PO BOX 820 LOS GATOS, CA 95032		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2134	

DATE MAILED: 04/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/973,561	SATOMAA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Matthew Heneghan	2134				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 O</u>	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 October 2001</u> .					
=-/	<u> </u>					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 October 2001</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	tion is required if the drawing(s) is of xaminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2134

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-10 have been examined.

Drawings

2. Figure 1 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance. Figure 1 is described as "prior art" in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Art Unit: 2134

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

3. Claims 1, 2, and 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,453,353 to Win et al.

As per claims 1 and 10, Win discloses a management system that can be accessed via a wireless link (see column 26, lines 29-39), wherein different administrative roles may be configured having full or limited privileges, including full or limited administrative user interfaces (see column 16, lines 3-28 and Table 1, particularly the independently configurable first and third items in Table 1).

As per claims 2 and 9, a super-user role is used to populate and maintain the system (see column 17, lines 14-27), and the administrative roles may be created to update or modify network applications (Table 1).

As per claim 7, communications with the central servers use HTTP (see column 8, lines 1-22).

As per claim 8, any user interface may be used on any device interfacing with the system (including wireless devices); users who are logged in and assigned roles must be authenticated at login (see column 6, lines 40-54).

Art Unit: 2134

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,453,353 to Win et al.

Win does not disclose which wireless protocols are to be used in communications.

Official notice is given that it is well-known in the art to use WAP and SMS protocols in wireless communications, as the use of industry-standard protocols allows for greater interoperability.

Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the invention of Win by using WAP and SMS for wireless communications, as the use of industry-standard protocols allows for greater interoperability.

Art Unit: 2134

5. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,453,353 to Win et al. as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,978,850 to Ramachandran et al.

Win discloses a feature for notifying any user (including those with limited management access) of attacks (see column 10, lines 1-13), but does not disclose a feature for acknowledging those notifications.

Ramachandran discloses a network wherein alarms must be retransmitted if no acknowledgement is received, ensuring that alert messages are not lost (see column 17, lines 26-39).

Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Win by sending acknowledgements to alarms, as disclosed by Ramachandran, to ensure that alert messages are not lost.

6. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,453,353 to Win et al. as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,253,211 to Gillies et al.

Win discloses a feature the recording and viewing of log data by administrators and further notes that functionalities may be subject to user-configurable restrictions, but does not specifically disclose variable viewing access to log data.

Gillies disclosed a monitoring system wherein the monitoring function being used by the administrator may be configured to filter out selected items from the log file for

Art Unit: 2134

viewing, and further suggests that this prevents trivial information from reaching the administration terminal (see column 7, lines 11-32).

Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Win by allowing for different views of the logs, as disclosed by Gillies, as this prevents trivial information from reaching the administration terminal.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew E. Heneghan, whose telephone number is (571) 272-3834. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 AM - 4:30 PM Eastern Time.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Morse, can be reached at (571) 272-3838.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2100.

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 09/973,561

Art Unit: 2134

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MEH ACT

April 13, 2005

GREGORY MORSE

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100